

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Offic**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 480.97-1-(HV CHOUDARY 10/21/99 09/425,075 **EXAMINER** HM12/0327 HELMS PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT HANA VERNY PETERS VERNY JONES AND BIKSA LLP 385 SHERMAN AVENUE SUITE 6 1642 PALO ALTO CA 94306 DATE MAILED: 03/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s

09/425,075

. ,

Examiner

Larry R. Helms Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1642

Choudary et al

ТН	IE F	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
		expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b)	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	dat	y extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The te on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be culated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	pe	opellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on19 Mar 2001 (or within any riod for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plie t is	cant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>3/19/0/</u> has been considered with the following effect, NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
		e proposed amendment(s): will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. will not be entered because: they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		 they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
	□ Ne	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	se	parate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	for <u>I</u>	ne affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition reconsideration and reconsideration in condition reconsideration and reconsideration in condition reconsideration in condition reconsideration in condition in the response argues that yeast are not able to express antibodies, however, the art of Horwitz produces an antibody in the response also states that the Invitrogen vectors express "regular" proteins and not antibodies, (see below)
		e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the caminer in the final rejection.
	Cla Cla	aims objected to: aims rejected: 1-5, 8-13, and 19-21
	Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on
		ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
X)	Otl	ther It would have been obvious to clone the light and the heavy chains of the antibody into the Invitrogen vector and express it in yeast as it would not be undue experimentation to do this. SHEELA HUFF PRIMARY EXAMINER